Applicant(s): MATHIEU GAGNE, HAIM KOPYLOVITZ AND ISHAY KEDEM Serial No.: 10/799,972 00-142CON

Filed: March 12, 2004

## REMARKS

Applicant requests reconsideration and reexamination of the above-identified application in view of the amendments made to the claims. The following remarks state Applicant's bases for making this request and are organized according to the Examiner's Action.

## Obvious-type Double Patenting

The Examiner rejects claims 34 through 44 based upon the non-statutory double patenting doctrine. A Terminal Disclaimer is submitted herewith.

## Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101

The Examiner rejects claims 34 through 44 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Examiner did, however, suggest an amendment. Applicants accept the Examiner's suggestion and have amended claim 34 accordingly.

## Status of the Claims

In a telephone conversation on August 27, 2004 the Examiner indicated that the statement "Claims 34-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102" was included in error.

MATHIEU GAGNE, HAIM KOPYLOVITZ AND ISHAY KEDEM Applicant(s): 00-142CON Serial No.: 10/799,972

Filed: March 12, 2004

If there are any questions, we urge the Examiner to call us collect. Please charge any costs in connection with this document to Deposit Account No. 05-0889.

Respectfully Submitted,

GEORGE A. HERBSTER,

Attorney, Reg. No. 24,002 40 Beach Street

Manchester, MA 01944

(978) 526-8111